

PROJECT TITLE

Ventura County Initial Study Checklist Case No. #####

Prepared by

MONTH YEAR

Table of Contents

Pro	oject Overview
1.	Project Description1-1
Res	sources
2.	Agriculture and Forestry Resources2-1
3.	Air Quality3-1
4.	Greenhouse Gases
5.	Energy5-1
6.	Biological Resources 6-1
7.	Hydrology
8.	Beaches and Coastal Sand Dunes
9.	Water Resources9-1
10.	Paleontological Resources
11.	Mineral Resources. 11-1
Lar	nd Use
12.	Aesthetics12-1
13.	Historical Resources
14.	Archaeological Resources
15.	<u>Tribal Cultural Resources</u>
16.	Land Use and Planning
17.	Population and Housing
18.	Recreation
На	zards
19.	Aviation Hazards
20.	Noise and Vibration
21.	Geological Hazards
22.	Wildfire Hazards
23.	Hazardous Materials and Waste23-1
Pul	blic Facilities/Services

24. Public Services 24-1

Ventura County Initial Study Checklist

V C	1110	na Obanty mitat Otady Oncoklist
		Case Number // Project Title
25.	<u>Util</u>	ities and Service Systems25-1
26.	Trai	nsportation
_0.	1101	20 1
Fin	ding	gs & Appendices
27.	Ma	ndatory Findings of Significance
28.	App	<u>pendices</u>
	Α.	Project Plans
		Project Site Photos
	C.	List of Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Probable Future Projects
	D.	Report on Potential Agricultural Conservation Easements
	E.	<u>Air Emissions Modeling Data</u>
	F.	Energy Analysis
	G.	Initial Study Biological Assessment
	Н.	<u>Hydrological Analysis</u>
	l.	Coastal Engineering Report
	J.	Paleontological Report/Study
	K.	Public Viewing Location Maps, Visual Simulations, and/or Glare Impact Study/Luminance
		<u>Histogram Report</u>
		<u>Historic Resource Report</u>
		Archaeological Phase I Inventory Report/Phase II Evaluation Report/Phase III Mitigation Plan
		<u>Tribal consultation/communications</u>
		Noise and/or Vibration Study
		Geotechnical Engineering Report
	-	<u>Traffic Impact Study and/or VMT Modeling Analysis</u>
		Works Cited/Bibliography
		Response to Comments
	Τ.	<u>Public Comments</u>

1. Project Description

1.1 Project Case Number(s)

PL##-###

CUP##-####

Etc.

1.2 Project Applicant

Applicant:

Mailing Street Address:

City, State, Zip Code:

1.3 Project Location and Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

Assessor's Parcel Number:

Street Address:

City, State, Zip Code:

Table 1-1. Project Site Location and Assessor's Parcel Numbers

	Street Address	City, State, Zip Code
APN ###-#-###		
APN ###-#-###		

Figure 1-1. Project Site Location

1.4 Land Use Designation and Zoning Designation

1.4.1 EXISTING DESIGNATIONS

Table 1-2. Existing Designations

	General Plan Land Use Designation	Area Plan Land Use Designation	Zoning Designation
APN ###-#-###			
APN ###-#-###			

1.4.2 PROPOSED DESIGNATIONS

Table 1-3. Proposed Designations

	General Plan Land Use Designation	Area Plan Land Use Designation	Zoning Designation
APN ###-#-###			
APN ###-#-###			

1.5 Surrounding Land Uses and Environmental Setting

1.6 Proposed Project Activities and Uses

1.7 Required Approvals from Other Agencies

Table 1-4. Required Approvals from Other Agencies

Type of Approval Required	Approving Agency
	Public Agency ¹
	Public Agency ²
	Public Agency

¹Responsible Agency as defined in State CEQA Guidelines Section 15381.

1.8 Consultation With California Native American Tribes

Have California Native American tribes traditionally and culturally affiliated with the project area requested consultation pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21080.3.1?	YES or NO
If so, is there a plan for consultation that includes, for example, the determination of significance of impacts to tribal cultural resources, procedures regarding confidentiality, etc.?	N/A or YES, See Section ##

1.9 Environmental Factors Potentially Affected

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact," as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

Resources							
	Agriculture and Forestry Resources		Air Quality		Greenhouse Gases		Energy
	Biological Resources		Hydrology		Beaches and Coastal Sand Dunes		Water Resources

²Trustee Agency as defined in State CEQA Guidelines Section 15386.

Ventura County Initial Study Checklist

Case Number // Project Title Resources Paleontological Mineral Resources Resources **Land Use** Historical Tribal Cultural **Aesthetics** Archaeological Resources Resources Resources Land Use and Population and Recreation **Planning** Housing Hazards Noise and **Aviation Hazards** Geological Wildfire Hazards Vibration Hazards Hazardous Materials and Waste **Public Facilities/Services Public Services** Utilities and Transportation Service Systems 1.10 Environmental Document Determination Based on this initial evaluation: I find the proposed project could not have a significant effect on the environment, and a **Negative Declaration** should be prepared. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measure(s) described in the Initial Study will be applied to the project. A Mitigated **Negative Declaration** should be prepared. I find that the proposed project, individually and/or cumulatively, MAY have a significant effect on the environment and an **Environmental Impact Report** is required. I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described in the Initial Study. An Environmental Impact Report is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects 1) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier Environmental Impact Report or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable standards, and 2) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier Environmental Impact Report or Negative Declaration, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.

Case Nun	nber // Project Title	
Signatur	e	Date
Name, T	itle	
1.11 Fisi	h and Game Fees	
	effect determination request and	fe has reviewed the CEQA document and written no has determined that the project will not have a habitat (see attached determination).
	the payment of Fish and Game fees	et fish and wildlife resources and shall be subject to s pursuant to Section 711.4 of the California Fish and document has been circulated to the California r review and comment.
1.12 Sta	te Clearinghouse	
	by one or more State agencies (e.g. Department of Fish and Wildl	t be submitted to the State Clearinghouse for review California Department of Transportation, California ife, Department of Housing and Community period shall not be less than 30 days (CEQA)

1.13 Evaluation of Environmental Impacts

- 1. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors, as well as general standards (e.g., the project would not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2. All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 3. Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation incorporated, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an Environmental Impact Report is required.
- 4. "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact"

- to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level.
- 5. Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program Environmental Impact Report, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier Environmental Impact Report or Negative Declaration pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a. Earlier analyses used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b. Impacts adequately addressed. Identify which effects from the Initial Study were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c. Mitigation measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6. Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- 7. Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8. The explanation of each issue should identify:
 - a. The significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
 - b. The mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

2. Agriculture and Forestry Resources

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:				
1. Result in the loss of Important Farmland exceeding the thresholds set forth in Threshold No. AGR-1?				
2. Be located closer than the radius distances set forth in Threshold AGR-2?				
3. a) Conflict with an existing Williamson Act Contract and b) result in a significant adverse environmental effect due to that conflict?				

- 2.1 Would the project result in the loss of Important Farmland exceeding the thresholds set forth in Threshold No. AGR-1?
- 2.1.1 BACKGROUND
- 2.1.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS
- 2.1.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS
- 2.1.4 MITIGATION MEASURES

AGR-MM-1	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	

C_{000}	Numbor	// Projec	+ Ti+Lo
Case	number	// Profec	ı ıme

AGR-MM-1	
Monitoring and Reporting	

- 2.2 Would the project be located closer than the radius distances set forth in Threshold AGR-2?
- 2.2.1 BACKGROUND
- 2.2.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS
- 2.2.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS
- 2.2.4 MITIGATION MEASURES

AGR-MM-2	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

- 2.3 Would the project a) conflict with an existing Williamson Act Contract and b) result in a significant adverse environmental effect due to that conflict?
- 2.3.1 BACKGROUND
- 2.3.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS
- 2.3.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS
- 2.3.4 MITIGATION MEASURES

AGR-MM-3	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation	
Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	

Ventura County Initial Study Checklist

Case Number // Project Title	
AGR-MM-3	
Monitoring and Reporting	

3. Air Quality

			Potentially Significant Impact	Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Wo	ould the project:					
1.	Exceed 2 pounds per day reactive organic composi- oxides of nitrogen (NOx) in the Air Quality Guidelines (AQAG), a significant environmenta to a conflict with or obs- implementation of the Management Plan (AQMI	unds (ROC) or as described Assessment nd cause a la impact due truction of the e Air Quality				
2.	Result in a cumulatively net increase of a criteria which the region is in non the applicable federastandard?	a pollutant for -attainment of				
3.	Expose sensitive re substantial pollutant co such as, but not limited contaminants (TACs), due	d to, toxic air				
3.1	Would the project exc (ROC) or oxides of Guidelines (AQAG), ar or obstruction of the in	nitrogen (NOx nd cause a sign	r), as descri ificant enviro	bed in the Air nmental impac	Quality Ass t due to a cor	sessment nflict with
3.1.	1 BACKGROUND					
3.1.	2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC I	MPACTS				
3.1.	3 CUMULATIVE IMPAC	TS				
3.1.	4 MITIGATION MEASUI	RES				
Alf	R-MM-1					
Pu	rpose					

Case Number	// Pro	iect Title
-------------	--------	------------

AIR-MM-1	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

- 3.2 Would the Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of a criteria pollutant for which the region is in non-attainment of the applicable federal or state standard?
- 3.2.1 BACKGROUND
- 3.2.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS
- 3.2.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS
- 3.2.4 MITIGATION MEASURES

AIR-MM-2	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and	
Reporting	

- 3.3 Would the project expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations, such as, but not limited to, toxic air contaminants (TACs), dust, and odors?
- 3.3.1 BACKGROUND
- 3.3.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS
- 3.3.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS
- 3.3.4 MITIGATION MEASURES

AIR-MM-3	
Purpose	

Ventura County Initial Study Checklist

Case Number // Pro	Case Number // Project Title	
AIR-MM-3		
Description		
Implementation		

AIII I I	
Description	
Implementation	
Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and	
Reporting	

4. Greenhouse Gases

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
Would the project:					
1. Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, which would result in a significant impact on the environment?					
4.1 Would the project generate greenh which would result in a significant in	_		directly or i	ndirectly,	
4.1.1 BACKGROUND					
4.1.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS					
4.1.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS					
4.1.4 MITIGATION MEASURES					
GHG-MM-1					
D					

GHG-MM-1	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation	
Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

5. Energy

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:					
unnecessary cor	eful, inefficient, or sumption of energy project construction				
energy efficiency	enewable energy or and b) result in a erse environmental				

- 5.1 Would the project result in wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources, during project construction or operation
- **5.1.1 BACKGROUND**
- **5.1.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS**
- **5.1.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS**
- **5.1.4 MITIGATION MEASURES**

ENE-MM-1	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation	
Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

- 5.2 Would the project a) conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency and b) result in a significant adverse environmental effect due to that conflict?
- **5.2.1 BACKGROUND**
- **5.2.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS**
- **5.2.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS**
- **5.2.4 MITIGATION MEASURES**

ENE-MM-2	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

6. Biological Resources

6.1 Species

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Wo	ould the project result in:				
1.	The loss of one or more individuals, or occupied habitat or critical habitat designated by the U.S Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) of a species officially listed as endangered, rare, or threatened, a candidate species, or a fully protected species?				
2.	Impacts that would eliminate or threaten to eliminate one or more element occurrences of a special-status species not otherwise listed under the federal Endangered Species Act or California Endangered Species Act, listed as a candidate species, or listed as a fully protected species?				
3.	"Take" of birds protected and defined under the California Fish and Game Code (Sections 3503.05, 3511, and 3513) and the federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act?				
4.	Impacts severe enough to substantially reduce the habitat of a plant or animal species or cause a species population to drop below self-sustaining levels pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines Section 15065?				

				.,
	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
5. Threaten the viability of the habitat of a special-status species or fragment a habitat and/or critical ecosystem processes and functions of a special- status species population?				
6. Isolate or restrict a special-status species from resources necessary for its reproductive capacity or survival?				
7. Substantially increase human-wildlife conflicts, lighting, noise, and other indirect impacts, which would result in mortality or the reduced fitness of the affected species over time?				
6.1.1 BACKGROUND 6.1.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS				
6.1.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS 6.1.4 MITIGATION MEASURES				
BIO-MM-1				
Purpose				
Description				
Implementation Responsibility				

6.2 Ecological Communities

Documentation

Monitoring and Reporting

Timing

Case Number /	/ Pro	iect	Title
---------------	-------	------	-------

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
W	ould the project result in:				
1.	Project activities that would temporarily or permanently remove, or directly impact the health of sensitive plant communities?				
2.	Indirect impacts within the watershed that would substantially adversely affect the associated sensitive plant communities, including any locally important plant communities or a water or wetland?				

6.2.1 BACKGROUND

6.2.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS

6.2.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

6.2.4 MITIGATION MEASURES

BIO-MM-2	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

6.3 Waters and/or Wetlands

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
W	ould the project result in:				
1.	Have project activities that result in the degradation or removal of habitat? Including but not limited to: a. Pre-construction, construction, operational, demolition, decommissioning activities; or b. Grading, excavation, or vegetation removal;				
2.	Placement of fill and/or other substrates, structures, or other materials that include any gaseous, liquid, solid, or thermal waste?				
3.	Have any disturbance of the substratum such as dredging, mining, or extraction of any materials?				
4.	Have substantial changes in the hydrological conditions associated with water quality, water quantity, water input, and/or intensity of use; velocity, siltation and/or sediment (erosion), volume of flow, or runoff rate; and/or the obstruction or diversion of water flow; release of pollutants in the environment; or alteration of ambient water temperatures?				
5.	Have disruptions to water or wetland ecosystems that would isolate or substantially interrupt the ecosystem function between the aquatic and the associated terrestrial habitats?				

6.3.1 BACKGROUND

6.3.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS

6.3.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

6.3.4 MITIGATION MEASURES

BIO-MM-3	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation	
Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

6.4 Landscape Connectivity

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project result in:				
1. Substantially block, inhibit, impede, interfere, isolate, remove, and/or degrade a habitat connectivity corridor, the Critical Wildlife Passage Area (CWPA), or the regional landscape linkage within the project parcel and/or neighboring parcels?				
2. Create physical barriers that substantially block and/or impede the movement, migration, or long-term landscape connectivity of the species?				

Mould the project regult in	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
3. Intimidate the species due to a substantial increase in human and/or domestic animal access, noise, light, waste, wildlife attractants, or other human-wildlife conflicts, and/or the introduction of pests or exotic species that would substantially prevent, interfere, or alter the movements, and/or threaten the use of habitat needed for reproduction and survival?				
4. Substantially isolate or fragment the species habitat and/or disrupt critical ecosystem processes such as, but not limited to, food webs or species reproduction?				
6.4.1 BACKGROUND 6.4.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS 6.4.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS 6.4.4 MITIGATION MEASURES BIO-MM-4 Purpose Description Implementation Responsibility Documentation				
Monitoring and Reporting				

6.5 Conflicts with Adopted Land Use Planning Documents

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project result in:	Would the project result in:			
1. The biological resources protection policies or standards in the Ventura County General Plan, Non-Coastal Zoning Ordinance, and/or Coastal Zoning Ordinance?				
2. An approved local, regional, or state habitat or community conservation plan?				

6.5.1 BACKGROUND

6.5.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS

6.5.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

6.5.4 MITIGATION MEASURES

BIO-MM-5	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

7. Hydrology

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project: Substantially obstruct, impair, divert, impede, or alter the characteristics of the flow of water within flood control facilities and watercourses, Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHAs), and regulatory channels both on- and offsite; or result in substantial deposition of sediment and debris materials within existing channels and allied obstruction of flow, overflow of channels during design storm conditions, substantial increased runoff, or other adverse effects, resulting in exposure of adjacent property and the community to an increased risk of flood hazards? 				
2. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site, substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site, create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems, or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?				

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
3.	a) Conflict with the Ventura County Watershed Protection District's Comprehensive Plan for Flood Control, building design and construction codes, ordinances, and standards regulating flow to and from natural and man-made drainage channels and facilities; and b) result in a significant adverse environmental effect due to that conflict?				

7.1 Would the project substantially obstruct, impair, divert, impede, or alter the characteristics of the flow of water within flood control facilities and watercourses, Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHAs), and regulatory channels both on- and off-site; or result in substantial deposition of sediment and debris materials within existing channels and allied obstruction of flow, overflow of channels during design storm conditions, substantial increased runoff, or other adverse effects, resulting in exposure of adjacent property and the community to an increased risk of flood hazards?

7.1.1 BACKGROUND

7.1.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS

7.1.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

7.1.4 MITIGATION MEASURES

HYD-MM-1	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

- 7.2 Would the project substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site, substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site, create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems, or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?
- 7.2.1 BACKGROUND
- 7.2.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS
- 7.2.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS
- 7.2.4 MITIGATION MEASURES

HYD-MM-2	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

- 7.3 Would the project a) conflict with the Ventura County Watershed Protection District's Comprehensive Plan for Flood Control, building design and construction codes, ordinances, and standards regulating flow to and from natural and man-made drainage channels and facilities; and b) result in a significant adverse environmental effect due to that conflict?
- 7.3.1 BACKGROUND
- 7.3.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS
- 7.3.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS
- 7.3.4 MITIGATION MEASURES

HYD-MM-3	
Purpose	
Description	

Ventura County Initial Study Checklist

Case Number // Project Title

HYD-MM-3	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

8. Beaches and Coastal Sand Dunes

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:					
•	otential to cause a verse change to a beach d dune?				
8.1 Would the page or coastal sa	roject have the potential and dune?	l to cause a s	ubstantial adve	rse change to	o a beach
8.1.1 BACKGROU	JND				
8.1.2 PROJECT-S	PECIFIC IMPACTS				
8.1.3 CUMULATIV	VE IMPACTS				
8.1.4 MITIGATION	N MEASURES				
BEA-MM-1					
Purpose					
Description					
Implementation Responsibility					
Documentation					
Timing					
Monitoring and Reporting					

9. Water Resources

	Potentially Significant Impact	Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:				
1. Directly or indirectly decrease the net supply of groundwater in an overdrafted groundwater basin by one acre-foot or more per year?				
2. Result in net groundwater extraction in groundwater basins that are not overdrafted, and/or not hydrologically or hydrogeologically continuous with an overdrafted basin, that could cause the basin to become overdrafted?				
3. Result in a net increase in groundwater extraction of one acre-foot or more per year from groundwater basins, hydrologic units, and/or hydrogeologic units that are not well documented or show evidence of overdraft?				
4. Degrade groundwater quality, causing it to exceed groundwater quality objectives set by the Basin Plans and/or applicable Groundwater Sustainability Plan?				
5. Increase surface water consumptive use (demand) in a fully appropriated stream reach as designated by the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) or where unappropriated surface water is unavailable, or by diverting or dewatering downstream reaches that would result in an adverse impact to one or more of the beneficial uses listed in the Basin Plans?				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
6. Directly or indirectly impact surface water quality or stormwater quality, causing it to exceed water quality objectives, water quality standards, and/or water quality-based effluent limitations of an applicable Basin Plan, Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4) Permit, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit, or result in failure to obtain coverage or comply with Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs)?				

- 9.1 Would the project directly or indirectly decrease the net supply of groundwater in an overdrafted groundwater basin by one acre-foot or more per year?
- 9.1.1 BACKGROUND
- 9.1.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS
- 9.1.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS
- 9.1.4 MITIGATION MEASURES

WAT-MM-1	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

9.2 Would the project result in net groundwater extraction in groundwater basins that are not overdrafted, and/or not hydrologically or hydrogeologically continuous with an overdrafted basin, that could cause the basin to become overdrafted?

9.2.1 BACKGROUND

9.2.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS

9.2.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

WAT-MM-2	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

- 9.3 Would the project result in a net increase in groundwater extraction of one acre-foot or more per year from groundwater basins, hydrologic units, and/or hydrogeologic units that are not well documented or show evidence of overdraft?
- 9.3.1 BACKGROUND
- 9.3.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS
- 9.3.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS
- 9.3.4 MITIGATION MEASURES

WAT-MM-3	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

- 9.4 Would the project degrade groundwater quality, causing it to exceed groundwater quality objectives set by the Basin Plans?
- 9.4.1 BACKGROUND
- 9.4.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS

9.4.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

9.4.4 MITIGATION MEASURES

WAT-MM-4	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

- 9.5 Would the project increase surface water consumptive use (demand) in a fully appropriated stream reach as designated by the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) or where unappropriated surface water is unavailable, or by diverting or dewatering downstream reaches that would result in an adverse impact to one or more of the beneficial uses listed in the Basin Plans?
- 9.5.1 BACKGROUND
- 9.5.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS
- 9.5.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

9.5.4 MITIGATION MEASURES

WAT-MM-5	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

9.6 Would the project directly or indirectly impact surface water quality or stormwater quality, causing it to exceed water quality objectives, water quality standards, and/or water quality-based effluent limitations of an applicable Basin Plan, Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4) Permit, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination

Case Number // Project Title

System (NPDES) Permit, or result in failure to obtain coverage or comply with Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs)?

- 9.6.1 BACKGROUND
- 9.6.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS
- 9.6.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS
- 9.6.4 MITIGATION MEASURES

WAT-MM-6	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

10. Paleontological Resources

	Potentially Significant Impact	Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:				
Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site?				

- 10.1 Would the project directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site?
- 10.1.1 BACKGROUND
- 10.1.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS
- **10.1.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS**
- **10.1.4 MITIGATION MEASURES**

PAL-MM-1	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

11. Mineral Resources

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
W	ould the project:				
1.	Result in the loss of availability of (a) a known aggregate resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state, or (b) a locally important aggregate resource recovery site?				
2.	Hamper or preclude extraction of, or access to, locally important petroleum resources, or otherwise result in the loss of availability of a known petroleum resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?				
11.	1 Would the project result in the loss of would be of value to the region and t	_	• •		

aggregate resource recovery site?

11.1.1 BACKGROUND

11.1.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS

11.1.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

MIN-MM-1	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	

Ventura County Initial Study Checklist

Case Number // Project Title

MIN-MM-1	
Monitoring and Reporting	

- 11.2 Would the project hamper or preclude extraction of, or access to, locally important petroleum resources, or otherwise result in the loss of availability of a known petroleum resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?
- 11.2.1 BACKGROUND
- 11.2.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS
- 11.2.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

MIN-MM-2	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

12. Aesthetics

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:				
1. Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista; substantially damage scenic resources; or substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of a public viewing location of the site and its surroundings?				
2. Include materials that would produce disability or discomfort glare for motorists traveling along one or more Regional Road Network roadways, which exceeds the glare source to the median of the background ratio of 3:1 in a luminance histogram?				

12.1 Would the project have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista; substantially damage scenic resources; or substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of a public viewing location of the site and its surroundings?

12.1.1 BACKGROUND

12.1.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS

12.1.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

AES-MM-1	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation	
Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	

Case Number	// Pro	iect Title
-------------	--------	------------

AES-MM-1	
Monitoring and Reporting	

12.2 Would the project include materials that would produce disability or discomfort glare for motorists traveling along one or more Regional Road Network roadways, which exceeds the glare source to the median of the background ratio of 3:1 in a luminance histogram?

12.2.1 BACKGROUND

12.2.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS

12.2.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

AES-MM-2	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

13. Historical Resources

	Potentially Significant Impact	Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:				
1. Cause a substantial adverse change in the mandatory significance, presumptive significance, or discretionary significance of a historical resource pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5?				

- 13.1 Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the mandatory significance, presumptive significance, or discretionary significance of a historical resource pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5?
- 13.1.1 BACKGROUND
- 13.1.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS
- **13.1.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS**

HIS-MM-1	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation	
Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

14. Archaeological Resources

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
W	ould the project:				
1.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to state CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5?				
2.	Result in the disturbance of human remains, including those interred outside of dedicated cemeteries?				

14.1 Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to state CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5?

14.1.1 BACKGROUND

14.1.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS

14.1.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

ARC-MM-1	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

Case Number // Project Title

- 14.2 Would the project result in the disturbance of human remains, including those interred outside of dedicated cemeteries?
- 14.2.1 BACKGROUND
- **14.2.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS**
- **14.2.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS**
- **14.2.4 MITIGATION MEASURES**

ARC-MM-2	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation	
Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	
- •	

15. Tribal Cultural Resources

	Potentially Significant Impact	Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:				
1. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource?				

- 15.1 Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource?
- 15.1.1 BACKGROUND
- 15.1.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS
- **15.1.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS**
- **15.1.4 MITIGATION MEASURES**

TRI-MM-1	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation	
Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and	
Reporting	

16. Land Use and Planning

		Potentially Significant Impact	Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
W	ould the project:				
1.	a) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or development standard adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect, such as the Ventura County General Plan, the applicable Area Plan, and applicable zoning ordinance, and b) Result in a significant adverse environmental effect due to that conflict?				
2.	Introduce physical development that would physically divide an established community or is substantially incompatible with existing land uses, architectural form or style, site design/layout, or density/intensity within the established community in which the project is located?				

- 16.1 Would the project a) conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or development standard adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect, such as the Ventura County General Plan, the applicable Area Plan, and applicable zoning ordinance, and b) Result in a significant adverse environmental effect due to that conflict?
- **16.1.1 BACKGROUND**
- **16.1.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS**
- **16.1.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS**
- **16.1.4 MITIGATION MEASURES**

LAN-MM-1	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation	
Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

16.2 Would the project introduce physical development that would physically divide an established community or is substantially incompatible with existing land uses, architectural form or style, site design/layout, or density/intensity within the established community in which the project is located?

16.2.1 BACKGROUND

16.2.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS

16.2.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

LAN-MM-2	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

17. Population and Housing

		Potentially Significant Impact	Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:					
1.	Induce substantial unplanned population growth in an area, either directly or indirectly?				
2.	Displace substantial numbers of existing people or housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				

- 17.1 Would the project induce substantial unplanned population growth in an area, either directly or indirectly?
- 17.1.1 BACKGROUND
- 17.1.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS
- 17.1.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS
- **17.1.4 MITIGATION MEASURES**

POP-MM-1	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

17.2 Would the project displace substantial numbers of existing people or housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?

Case Number // Project Title

17.2.1 BACKGROUND

17.2.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS

17.2.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

POP-MM-2	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation	
Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

18. Recreation

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:				
1. Result in substantial physical deterioration due to increased use of existing recreation facilities, or otherwise remove, impede, or obstruct the use of existing recreation facilities or future development of recreation facilities?				

18.1 Would the project result in substantial physical deterioration due to increased use of existing recreation facilities, or otherwise remove, impede, or obstruct the use of existing recreation facilities or future development of recreation facilities?

18.1.1 BACKGROUND

18.1.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS

18.1.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

REC-MM-1	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

19. Aviation Hazards

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:				
1. Result in a potentially incompatible land use within the Airport Area of Influence (AAOI) of an airport, which would expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels or substantial safety hazards related to airport operations?				

19.1 Would the project result in a potentially incompatible land use within the Airport Area of Influence (AAOI) of an airport, which would expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels or substantial safety hazards related to airport operations?

- 19.1.1 BACKGROUND
- 19.1.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS
- **19.1.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS**
- **19.1.4 MITIGATION MEASURES**

AVI-MM-1	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

20. Noise and Vibration

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:				
1. Generate an increase in ambient noise levels in excess of the noise standards established in the Ventura County Noise and Vibration Assessment Guidelines, General Plan, and Area Plan and Zoning Ordinance applicable to the project?	s , t			
2. Generate construction or other vibration in excess of vibration standards established in the Ventura County Noise and Vibration Assessment Guidelines?				
20.1 Would the project generate an incr standards established in the Ve Guidelines, General Plan, and Ar project?	entura County	Noise and V	libration Ass	sessment
20.1.1 BACKGROUND				
20.1.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS				
20.1.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS				
20.1.4 MITIGATION MEASURES				
NOI-MM-1				
Purpose				
Description				
Implementation Responsibility				
Documentation				

Ventura County Initial Study Checklist

Case Number // Project Title

NOI-MM-1	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

- 20.2 Would the project generate construction or other vibration in excess of vibration standards established in the Ventura County Noise and Vibration Assessment Guidelines?
- **20.2.1 BACKGROUND**
- **20.2.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS**
- **20.2.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS**

NOI-MM-2	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

21. Geological Hazards

		Potentially Significant Impact	Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Wo	ould the project:				
1.	Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving rupture of a known earthquake fault as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map, strong seismic ground shaking, or seismic-related ground failure?				
2.	Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable or cause the geologic unit or soil to become unstable as a result of the project, and directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving on- or off-site liquefaction, lateral spreading, landslide/debris flow, subsidence, or collapse?				
3.	Cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving soil expansion if the project is located within a soils expansive hazard zone or where soils with an expansion index greater than 130 are present?				
4.	Expose people or structures, either directly or indirectly, to a significant risk of loss, injury, or death involving seiche hazard if the project is located within 20 feet of vertical elevation from an enclosed body of water, such as a lake or reservoir or within a tsunami inundation hazard zone?				
	munuation nazaru zone:				

Case Number // Project Title

- 21.1 Would the project directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving rupture of a known earthquake fault as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map, strong seismic ground shaking, or seismic-related ground failure?
- 21.1.1 BACKGROUND
- 21.1.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS
- **21.1.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS**
- **21.1.4 MITIGATION MEASURES**

GEO-MM-1	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation	
Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and	
Reporting	

- 21.2 Would the project be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable or cause the geologic unit or soil to become unstable as a result of the project, and directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving on- or off-site liquefaction, lateral spreading, landslide/debris flow, subsidence, or collapse?
- 21.2.1 BACKGROUND
- 21.2.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS
- **21.2.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS**
- **21.2.4 MITIGATION MEASURES**

GEO-MM-2	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	

Case Number	// Project Title
-------------	------------------

GEO-MM-2	
Monitoring and	
Reporting	

21.3 Would the project cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving soil expansion if the project is located within a soils expansive hazard zone or where soils with an expansion index greater than 130 are present?

21.3.1 BACKGROUND

21.3.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS

21.3.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

21.3.4 MITIGATION MEASURES

GEO-MM-3	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

21.4 Would the project expose people or structures, either directly or indirectly, to a significant risk of loss, injury, or death involving seiche hazard if the project is located within 20 feet of vertical elevation from an enclosed body of water, such as a lake or reservoir or within a tsunami inundation hazard zone?

21.4.1 BACKGROUND

21.4.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS

21.4.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

GEO-MM-4	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	

Ventura County Initial Study Checklist

Case Number // Project Title

GEO-MM-4	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

22. Wildfire Hazards

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
W	ould the project:				
1.	If located in or adjacent to a Hazardous Fire Area (HFA), exacerbate wildfire risks due to slope, prevailing winds, and other factors, and thereby expose project occupants to pollutant concentrations from a wildfire or the uncontrolled spread of a wildfire?				
2.	If located in or adjacent to an HFA, expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildfire, including downslope or downstream flooding or landslides as a result of runoff, post-fire slope instability, or drainage changes?				
3.	If located in or adjacent to an HFA, require the installation or maintenance of infrastructure to accommodate the project (such as roads, fuel breaks, emergency water sources, power lines or other utilities) that may exacerbate fire risk or that may result in substantial temporary or ongoing impacts to the environment?				
4.	If located in or adjacent to an HFA, substantially impair or obstruct implementation of the Ventura County Emergency Operations Plan?				
5.	If located in or adjacent to an HFA, substantially impair or obstruct primary or alternative evacuation routes?				

Case Number // Project Title

- 22.1 Would the project, if located in or adjacent to a Hazardous Fire Area (HFA), exacerbate wildfire risks due to slope, prevailing winds, and other factors, and thereby expose project occupants to pollutant concentrations from a wildfire or the uncontrolled spread of a wildfire?
- 22.1.1 BACKGROUND
- 22.1.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS
- 22.1.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS
- 22.1.4 MITIGATION MEASURES

WIL-MM-1	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

22.1.5

- 22.2 Would the project, if located in or adjacent to an HFA, expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildfire, including downslope or downstream flooding or landslides as a result of runoff, post-fire slope instability, or drainage changes?
- 22.2.1 BACKGROUND
- 22.2.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS
- **22.2.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS**
- 22.2.4 MITIGATION MEASURES

WIL-MM-2	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	

	Ventura County Initial Study Checklist
Case Number // Pro	oject Title
WIL-MM-2	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	
maintenance emergency v	project, if located in or adjacent to an HFA, require the installation of e of infrastructure to accommodate the project (such as roads, fuel breaks, water sources, power lines or other utilities) that may exacerbate fire risk of sult in substantial temporary or ongoing impacts to the environment?
	SPECIFIC IMPACTS
22.3.3 CUMULATI	VE IMPACTS
22.3.4 MITIGATIO	
WIL-MM-3	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	
implementarion implem	SPECIFIC IMPACTS VE IMPACTS
WIL-MM-4	
Purpose	

Description

Ventura County Initial Study Checklist

Case Number // Project Title

WIL-MM-4	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

- 22.5 Would the project, if located in or adjacent to an HFA, substantially impair or obstruct primary or alternative evacuation routes?
- 22.5.1 BACKGROUND
- 22.5.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS
- **22.5.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS**

WIL-MM-5	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

23. Hazardous Materials and Waste

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
W	ould the project:				
1.	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?				
2.	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?				
3.	Generate substantial hazardous emissions or handle substantial amounts of hazardous or acutely hazardous waste, materials, or substances within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?				
4.	Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code section 65962.5 and, as a result, would create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?				

23.1 Would the project create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?

23.1.1 BACKGROUND

23.1.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS

23.1.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

23.1.4 MITIGATION MEASURES

HAZ-MM-1	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

- 23.2 Would the project create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?
- 23.2.1 BACKGROUND
- 23.2.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS
- 23.2.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

23.2.4 MITIGATION MEASURES

HAZ-MM-2	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation	
Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

23.3 Would the project generate substantial hazardous emissions or handle substantial amounts of hazardous or acutely hazardous waste, materials, or substances within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?

23.3.1 BACKGROUND

23.3.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS

23.3.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

23.3.4 MITIGATION MEASURES

HAZ-MM-3	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

- 23.4 Would the project be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code section 65962.5 and, as a result, would create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?
- 23.4.1 BACKGROUND
- 23.4.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS
- 23.4.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

23.4.4 MITIGATION MEASURES

HAZ-MM-4	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation	
Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

24. Public Services

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:				
 Substantially interfere with the following public services, result in the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities in order to maintain public services, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts? Law enforcement/emergency services; Fire protection; Schools; Libraries; or Other public facilities 				
24.1 Would the project substantially interneed for new or physically altered g services, the construction of which o	governmental	l facilities in or	der to mainta	ain public
 Law Enforcement/emergency s 	services;			
 Fire protection; 				
Schools;				
Libraries; or				
 Other public facilities 				
24.1.1 BACKGROUND				
24.1.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS				
24.1.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS				
24.1.4 MITIGATION MEASURES				
PUB-MM-1				
Purpose				

Ventura County Initial Study Checklist

Case Number // Project Title

PUB-MM-1	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

25. Utilities and Service Systems

		Potentially Significant Impact	Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Wo	ould the project:				
1.	a) Conflict with applicable state or local requirements related to safe drinking water, water supply, and fire, and b) Result in a significant adverse environmental effect due to that conflict?				
2.	Have sufficient water supplies during normal, single-dry, and multiple-dry water years for a 20-year projection to serve the project?				
3.	a) Conflict with applicable state or local requirements related to wastewater treatment and/or sewage collection/treatment, and result in a significant adverse environmental effect due to that conflict, b) Increase demand to a level that would exceed a wastewater/sewer service provider's capacity; or c) Require or result in the relocation or construction of new or expanded wastewater treatment or sewage facilities, the construction or relocation of which would cause significant environmental effects?				

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
4.	a) Conflict with applicable state or local regulations related to solid waste, including generating solid waste in excess of state or local standards, or in excess of the capacity of local infrastructure that would reduce the local infrastructure's useful life to less than 15 years, or otherwise impair the attainment of solid waste reduction goals; and b) result in a significant adverse environmental effect due to that conflict?				
5.	Cause a substantial disruption or re- routing of an existing utility facility, or substantially increase demand to a level that would require or result in the relocation or construction of new or expanded water, storm water drainage, electric power, natural gas, telecommunications, or other types of utility facilities, which would cause significant environmental effects?				
6.	Substantially interfere with, or compromise the integrity, or affect the operation of an existing pipeline that is currently in operation?				

25.1 Would the project a) conflict with applicable state or local requirements related to safe drinking water, water supply, and fire, and b) Result in a significant adverse environmental effect due to that conflict?

- 25.1.1 BACKGROUND
- 25.1.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS
- **25.1.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS**
- **25.1.4 MITIGATION MEASURES**

Case Number //	Proje	ecti	Title
----------------	-------	------	-------

UTI-MM-1	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

25.2 Would the project have sufficient water supplies during normal, single-dry, and multiple-dry water years for a 20-year projection to serve the project?

25.2.1 BACKGROUND

25.2.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS

25.2.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

25.2.4 MITIGATION MEASURES

UTI-MM-2	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

25.3 Would the project a) conflict with applicable state or local requirements related to wastewater treatment and/or sewage collection/treatment, and result in a significant adverse environmental effect due to that conflict, b) increase demand to a level that would exceed a wastewater/sewer service provider's capacity; or c) require or result in the relocation or construction of new or expanded wastewater treatment or sewage facilities, the construction or relocation of which would cause significant environmental effects?

25.3.1 BACKGROUND

25.3.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS

25.3.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

25.3.4 MITIGATION MEASURES

UTI-MM-3	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

25.4 Would the project a) conflict with applicable state or local regulations related to solid waste, including generating solid waste in excess of state or local standards, or in excess of the capacity of local infrastructure that would reduce the local infrastructure's useful life to less than 15 years, or otherwise impair the attainment of solid waste reduction goals; and b) result in a significant adverse environmental effect due to that conflict?

25.4.1 BACKGROUND

25.4.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS

25.4.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

25.4.4 MITIGATION MEASURES

UTI-MM-4	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation	
Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

25.5 Would the project cause a substantial disruption or re-routing of an existing utility facility, or substantially increase demand to a level that would require or result in the relocation or construction of new or expanded water, storm water drainage, electric

power, natural gas, telecommunications, or other types of utility facilities, which would cause significant environmental effects?

25.5.1 BACKGROUND

25.5.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS

25.5.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

25.5.4 MITIGATION MEASURES

UTI-MM-5	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

25.6 Would the project substantially interfere with, or compromise the integrity, or affect the operation of an existing pipeline that is currently in operation?

25.6.1 BACKGROUND

25.6.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS

25.6.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

25.6.4 MITIGATION MEASURES

UTI-MM-6	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation	
Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and	
Reporting	

26. Transportation

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Wo	ould the project:				
1.	Exceed the vehicle miles traveled (VMT) thresholds as established in the ISAGs pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.3(b)?				
2.	Substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?				
3.	a) Conflict with the Ventura County Fire Protection District (VCFPD) Access Ordinance, Ventura County Road Standards, and/or State Fire Safe Regulations addressing the circulation system, including public transit, roadway, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities; and b) Result in a significant adverse environmental effect due to that conflict?				
4.	Result in substantial adverse physical barriers to the circulation system, including public transit, pedestrian, or bicycle facilities, substantially increase demand in the use of such facilities, or substantially decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?				
5.	Result in a substantial adverse physical interference with an existing railroad's facilities or operations?				

Case Number // P	roiect litle
------------------	--------------

			Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
State CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.3(b)? 26.1.1 BACKGROUND 26.1.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS 26.1.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS 26.1.4 MITIGATION MEASURES TRA-MM-1 Purpose Description Implementation Responsibility Documentation Timing Monitoring and Reporting 26.2 Would the project substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)? 26.2.1 BACKGROUND 26.2.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS 26.2.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS 26.2.4 MITIGATION MEASURES TRA-MM-2 Purpose		equate access during an				
26.1.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS 26.1.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS 26.1.4 MITIGATION MEASURES TRA-MM-1 Purpose Description Implementation Responsibility Documentation Timing Monitoring and Reporting 26.2 Would the project substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)? 26.2.1 BACKGROUND 26.2.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS 26.2.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS 26.2.4 MITIGATION MEASURES TRA-MM-2 Purpose				established in	the ISAGs pu	rsuant to
26.1.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS 26.1.4 MITIGATION MEASURES TRA-MM-1 Purpose Description Implementation Responsibility Documentation Timing Monitoring and Reporting 26.2 Would the project substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)? 26.2.1 BACKGROUND 26.2.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS 26.2.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS 26.2.4 MITIGATION MEASURES TRA-MM-2 Purpose	26.1.1 BACKGROU	JND				
TRA-MM-1 Purpose Description Implementation Responsibility Documentation Timing Monitoring and Reporting 26.2 Would the project substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)? 26.2.1 BACKGROUND 26.2.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS 26.2.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS 26.2.4 MITIGATION MEASURES TRA-MM-2 Purpose	26.1.2 PROJECT-S	SPECIFIC IMPACTS				
TRA-MM-1 Purpose Description Implementation Responsibility Documentation Timing Monitoring and Reporting 26.2 Would the project substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)? 26.2.1 BACKGROUND 26.2.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS 26.2.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS 26.2.4 MITIGATION MEASURES TRA-MM-2 Purpose	26.1.3 CUMULATI	VE IMPACTS				
Purpose Description Implementation Responsibility Documentation Timing Monitoring and Reporting 26.2 Would the project substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)? 26.2.1 BACKGROUND 26.2.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS 26.2.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS 26.2.4 MITIGATION MEASURES TRA-MM-2 Purpose	26.1.4 MITIGATIO	N MEASURES				
Description Implementation Responsibility Documentation Timing Monitoring and Reporting 26.2 Would the project substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)? 26.2.1 BACKGROUND 26.2.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS 26.2.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS 26.2.4 MITIGATION MEASURES TRA-MM-2 Purpose	TRA-MM-1					
Implementation Responsibility Documentation Timing Monitoring and Reporting 26.2 Would the project substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)? 26.2.1 BACKGROUND 26.2.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS 26.2.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS 26.2.4 MITIGATION MEASURES TRA-MM-2 Purpose	Purpose					
Responsibility Documentation Timing Monitoring and Reporting 26.2 Would the project substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)? 26.2.1 BACKGROUND 26.2.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS 26.2.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS 26.2.4 MITIGATION MEASURES TRA-MM-2 Purpose	Description					
Timing Monitoring and Reporting 26.2 Would the project substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)? 26.2.1 BACKGROUND 26.2.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS 26.2.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS 26.2.4 MITIGATION MEASURES TRA-MM-2 Purpose	_					
Monitoring and Reporting 26.2 Would the project substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)? 26.2.1 BACKGROUND 26.2.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS 26.2.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS 26.2.4 MITIGATION MEASURES TRA-MM-2 Purpose	Documentation					
26.2 Would the project substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)? 26.2.1 BACKGROUND 26.2.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS 26.2.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS 26.2.4 MITIGATION MEASURES TRA-MM-2 Purpose	Timing					
(e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)? 26.2.1 BACKGROUND 26.2.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS 26.2.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS 26.2.4 MITIGATION MEASURES TRA-MM-2 Purpose	_					
26.2.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS 26.2.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS 26.2.4 MITIGATION MEASURES TRA-MM-2 Purpose	(e.g., sharp	curves or dangerous			_	
26.2.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS 26.2.4 MITIGATION MEASURES TRA-MM-2 Purpose	26.2.1 BACKGROU	JND				
26.2.4 MITIGATION MEASURES TRA-MM-2 Purpose	26.2.2 PROJECT-S	SPECIFIC IMPACTS				
26.2.4 MITIGATION MEASURES TRA-MM-2 Purpose	26.2.3 CUMULATI	VE IMPACTS				
Purpose	26.2.4 MITIGATIO	N MEASURES				
Purpose						
·						
	Description					

TRA-MM-2	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

- 26.3 Would the project a) conflict with the VCFPD Access Ordinance, Ventura County Road Standards, and/or State Fire Safe Regulations addressing the circulation system, including public transit, roadway, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities; and b) Result in a significant adverse environmental effect due to that conflict?
- 26.3.1 BACKGROUND
- 26.3.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS
- **26.3.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS**

26.3.4 MITIGATION MEASURES

TRA-MM-3	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation	
Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and	
Reporting	

- 26.4 Would the project result in substantial adverse physical barriers to the circulation system, including public transit, pedestrian, or bicycle facilities, substantially increase demand in the use of such facilities, or substantially decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?
- **26.4.1 BACKGROUND**
- **26.4.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS**
- **26.4.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS**
- **26.4.4 MITIGATION MEASURES**

TRA-MM-4	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation	
Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and	
Reporting	

26.5 Would the project result in a substantial adverse physical interference with an existing railroad's facilities or operations?

26.5.1 BACKGROUND

26.5.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS

26.5.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

26.5.4 MITIGATION MEASURES

TRA-MM-5	
Purpose	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

26.6 Would the project result in inadequate access during an emergency?

26.6.1 BACKGROUND

26.6.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS

26.6.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

26.6.4 MITIGATION MEASURES

TRA-MM-6	
Purpose	

Ventura County Initial Study Checklist

TRA-MM-6	
Description	
Implementation Responsibility	
Documentation	
Timing	
Monitoring and Reporting	

Less Than

27. Mandatory Findings of Significance

Pursuant to Sate CEQA Guidelines Section 15065, the lead agency shall find that a project may have a significant effect on the environment and thereby require an Environmental Impact Report to be prepared for the project where there is substantial evidence, in light of the whole record, that any of the following conditions may occur:

		Potentially Significant Impact	Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Ва	sed on the information contained within t	his document,	does the projec	t:	
1.	Have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?				
2.	Have the potential to achieve short- term environmental goals, to the disadvantage of long-term environmental goals?				
3.	Have possible environmental effects that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable?				
4.	Have environmental effects that will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?				

- 27.1 Does the project have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?
- 27.1.1 BACKGROUND
- 27.1.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS
- **27.1.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS**
- **27.1.4 MITIGATION MEASURES**

See Section XX.

- 27.2 Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term environmental goals, to the disadvantage of long-term environmental goals?
- 27.2.1 BACKGROUND
- 27.2.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS
- **27.2.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS**
- **27.2.4 MITIGATION MEASURES**

See Section XX.

- 27.3 Does the project have possible environmental effects that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable?
- 27.3.1 BACKGROUND
- 27.3.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS
- **27.3.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS**
- **27.3.4 MITIGATION MEASURES**

See Section XX.

- 27.4 Does the project have environmental effects that will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?
- 27.4.1 BACKGROUND
- 27.4.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC IMPACTS
- **27.4.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS**
- **27.4.4 MITIGATION MEASURES**

	Ventura County Initial Study Checklist
Case Number // Project Title	
See Section XX.	

28. Appendices

Appendix A.	<u>Project Plans</u>	3
		٠.

Appendix B. Project Site Photos

Appendix C. <u>List of Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Probable Future Projects</u>

Appendix D. Report on Potential Agricultural Conservation Easements

Appendix E. <u>Air Emissions Modeling Data</u>

Appendix F. Energy Analysis

Appendix G. <u>Initial Study Biological Assessment</u>

Appendix H. <u>Hydrological Analysis</u>
Appendix I. <u>Coastal Engineering Report</u>
Appendix J. <u>Paleontological Report/Study</u>

Appendix K. Public Viewing Location Maps, Visual Simulations, and/or Glare Impact

Study/Luminance Histogram Report

Appendix L. <u>Historic Resource Report</u>

Appendix M. Archaeological Phase I Inventory Report/Phase II Evaluation Report/Phase III

Mitigation Plan

Appendix N. <u>Tribal consultation/communications</u>

Appendix O. Noise and/or Vibration Study
Appendix P. Geotechnical Engineering Report

Appendix Q. <u>Traffic Impact Study and/or VMT Modeling Analysis</u>

Appendix R. Works Cited/Bibliography
Appendix S. Response to Comments

Appendix T. <u>Public Comments</u>

APPENDIX A. PROJECT PLANS

APPENDIX B. PROJECT SITE PHOTOS

APPENDIX C. LIST OF PAST, PRESENT, AND REASONABLY FORESEEABLE PROBABLE FUTURE PROJECTS

APPENDIX D. REPORT ON POTENTIAL AGRICULTURAL CONSERVATION EASEMENTS

APPENDIX E. AIR EMISSIONS MODELING DATA

APPENDIX F. ENERGY ANALYSIS

APPENDIX G. INITIAL STUDY BIOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT

Case Number	// Pro	iect	Title
-------------	--------	------	-------

APPENDIX H. HYDROLOGICAL ANALYSIS

APPENDIX I. COASTAL ENGINEERING REPORT

APPENDIX J. PALEONTOLOGICAL REPORT/STUDY

APPENDIX K. PUBLIC VIEWING LOCATION MAPS, VISUAL SIMULATIONS, AND/OR GLARE IMPACT STUDY/LUMINANCE HISTOGRAM REPORT

APPENDIX L. HISTORIC RESOURCE REPORT

APPENDIX M. ARCHAEOLOGICAL PHASE I INVENTORY REPORT/PHASE II EVALUATION REPORT/PHASE III MITIGATION PLAN

$C_{\alpha\alpha\alpha}$	Numb	or // D	roioot	Titlo
Case	nunn	ei // Pi	roieci	-11110

APPENDIX N. TRIBAL CONSULTATION/COMMUNICATIONS

APPENDIX O. NOISE AND/OR VIBRATION STUDY

APPENDIX P. GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING REPORT

APPENDIX Q. TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY AND/OR VMT MODELING ANALYSIS

APPENDIX R. WORKS CITED/BIBLIOGRAPHY

APPENDIX S. RESPONSE TO COMMENTS

APPENDIX T. PUBLIC COMMENTS